UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/694,596	10/23/2003	Jonathan R. Howell	M1103.70114US00	3508
WOLF GREENFIELD (Microsoft Corporation) C/O WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.			EXAMINER	
			LAI, MICHAEL C	
600 ATLANTIC AVENUE BOSTON, MA 02210-2206			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2157	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/694,596	HOWELL ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	MICHAEL C. LAI	2157		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 №</u> 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This  3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the process.	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-20 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the Examine.	cepted or b) objected to by the land drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the land drawing(s) is objected to be land drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/694,596 Page 2

Art Unit: 2157

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is responsive to amendment filed on 3/5/2008.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pages 7-9, filed 3/5/2008, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-2 and 4-20 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph.

## Claim Objections

1. Claims 2 and 12-20 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 2 line 1, change "A computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions for determining..." to "A computer-readable **storage** medium having computer-executable instructions stored thereon, that when executed by a processing unit, determines..." For claims 12-20, change "The computer-readable medium" to "The computer-readable **storage** medium".

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-2 and 4-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. "Storing the time based on the sending/receiving device's clock" is critical and essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).

In paragraph 0045 of the specification, it indicates "a computing device can determine an upper and lower boundary for a reference time by sending a request to a reference time computing device, **storing** the time (according to the **sending device's clock**) when the request was sent, receiving a response containing the reference time from a reference time computing device, and **storing** the time (according to the **receiving device's clock**) when the response was received." As such "storing the time based on the sending/receiving device's clock" is critical and essential and should be reflected in claims 1 and 2.

All dependent claims are rejected to as having the same deficiencies as the claims they depend from.

### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-2, 4-20 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Lai whose telephone number is (571) 270-3236. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Application/Control Number: 10/694,596 Page 4

Art Unit: 2157

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael C. Lai 07JUN2008

/Yves Dalencourt/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2157